

March 2, 1976

that. Next thing you know Senator Stull they will accuse me of being a trader. Trading votes back and forth and everybody knows that that also is not true at all. Now I want to answer just a couple of comments that have been made. Good friend Swigart pointed that out and I know that his interest in democracy and I have a bill that is coming up soon on that habitat bill, maybe we can elect the members on the Game Commission. That might be one that you might want to support Senator Swigart in terms of your...you might want to co-introduce that amendment with me to make sure that they are elected. There are several others that are appointed, the Highway Commission. You may want to have a piece of that too. You know we might want to do those kind of things. What I simply came before you and asked you to do was that I asked you to guarantee some representation to those people that are recipients of the MUD water and gas also recipients of the gas bills and the water bills. Senator Dickinson talked about the hearing. You know who opposed the bill at the hearing? The paid lobbyist and the Director of MUD who has a rather nice niche right now. In fact I would trade jobs with him at this moment, and give \$20,000 back and say thanks. I would appreciate that. There was the overwhelming opposition to it. Those are the people that had the hue and cry about democracy and things were well as they are right now. So, Senator Dickinson told you half of the story. I'm sorry that he didn't read the rest of the committee report to you about the tremendous opposition that came into the bill. The facts are these. I have asked and I have asked rather vehemently for representation for the people of my district. You bet that they are my people Senator Dickinson they send me down here to represent them. I'm one of them. They would be here in full force every day if they could. I'm going to see that they get a fair shake if I have anything to do about it. I have asked you to put a member on the MUD board. The greatest thing that this debate has done for me is the board and the administration and the management are so opposed to this that maybe it is a closed shop and a little club. It has spurred me on to think in terms that maybe we ought to look at that most carefully. I plan to do that regardless of the out-come of this particular bill. They may have something to hide. They may not want an outsider on it. So we will look and see what they have going there. Now, in the matter that we ask it to be done. We ask that it be done on the basis of appointment for the initial term which is traditional, which is historical, which has been done several times Senator Swigart and sometimes lack of experience in this kind of body doesn't allow us to remember that. That was done with the Metro Tech board. Now we may want to look at that very carefully too, in terms of that. My people pay 2½ mills for that and we don't have any of those facilities down in Sarpy County. Maybe we ought to think about that for a minute. I helped support that bill. I am asking that for the initial appointed term, that the member be appointed. Secondly, I am asking after that point in time that the normal election process takes place. Now, as far as any deals being cut, I will personally guarantee you and promise you here on the floor that I have never talked to the Governor or any of his staff about this bill or any appointment to be made to the same. I have never done that. I have an interest in the bill and you bet, that if the bill passes I will be over their camping on the door step, asking him to appoint someone. Asking him to appoint someone from my county. See that we have